

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
<p>1. Settlement Definition</p>	<p>Maintain separation between Longridge and neighbouring villages keeping open the green areas most vulnerable to development and where there is greatest risk of coalescence (i.e. where there are happening and proposed housing developments). The focus should be on the south east boundary with Grimsargh.</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Evidence of current break between settlements – green fields. One approach could be to define a “Green Wedge/Gap”: would need to map open areas proposed to be protected within the neighbourhood plan area boundary.</p>	<p>Not yet</p>	<p>Consultation with Grimsargh Parish Council to get their views.</p> <p>Consider whether there is a need for wider consultation with the community in Grimsargh – both of these actions could add weight to the evidence.</p> <p>Map current green fields/open space – map to be included with the proposed policy.</p> <p>Identify developer interest from the SHLAA and planning applications.</p> <p>Take photos of the area so that the neighbourhood plan shows the issue graphically – note that the examiner is unlikely to know the area well.</p>
<p>2. Landscape/Design</p>	<p>Influence the design of new development: there is an aspiration for new design to “blend in”</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Histories/documentated evidence that might show the character of Longridge.</p> <p>Conservation areas within the neighbourhood plan area: documented evidence of local design and character.</p> <p>Cite example of how the United Utilities housing site where the community was able to influence and achieve better design.</p>	<p>How can such a policy influence “Standard Barrett Style” housing? Are there other policies/examples of where local character has been taken into account in new housing development?</p>	<p>As part of the writing of the neighbourhood plan need to “set the scene” for the area, which can help back up the design approach to the area.</p> <p>Photo survey: highlighting local character and local examples of good design.</p> <p>Mine descriptions of character of Longridge: perhaps involve the Heritage Society.</p>

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
3. Heritage Assets	Protect/preserve the setting and context of the key characteristic parts of the town including those parts outside of the conservation areas	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Historical context: conservation areas, first building society in the country, context of Club Row.</p> <p>Map of heritage areas: heritage trail map.</p>	Not yet	<p>Identify and map specific sites/areas to be protected.</p> <p>Describe the area: see ‘setting the scene’ action above.</p> <p>Map and list significant buildings and assets that might not currently be listed.</p> <p>Involve the Heritage Society.</p>
4. Housing Provision <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant number of large scale development sites currently being considered in Longridge and adjacent areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A phased distribution across the existing identified sites. (Higgin Brook, Dilworth, Show ground and Monks Phase 2) <p><u>This needs clarification</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic impact on current Town centre due to single concentrated large development • Phase impact on existing community and landscape • Manage the impact of social capital shifting the existing town centre • 90% of LNP survey respondents agreed NP vision • Response from highways and UU on infrastructure impact assessment (awaiting) • Mapping postcodes responses 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways and UU response to be chased (Cllr Ashworth to action). • <u>Mapping of postcode responses to be requested (Cllr Swarbrick to action)</u> • <u>Need to decide as a Town Council whether to include housing in the Neighbourhood Plan – with the aim of influencing future new housing. If yes, how will it be addressed as an issue?</u> • <u>Carol to research and provide examples of what other policies are being developed in other neighbourhood planning areas</u>
5. Housing Balance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification and maintenance of local housing needs survey 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Responsive development in line with annual housing survey</u> • <u>Provide a range of new homes to meet the real needs of local people in terms of:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>Size</u> ○ <u>Characteristics</u> ○ <u>Affordability of the property</u> ○ <u>Tenure</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up-to-Date RVBC housing need survey outcomes <u>2013</u> • LNP responses • Maintaining a balanced sustainable community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider LTC implementing it’s own housing needs survey as part of the NP process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raising the Housing Needs Survey with RVBC (Colin Hirst)

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
6. Affordable Housing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group discussion decided to incorporate this into No. 5 				
7. Business and Employment Development	<p>Increase opportunities for local employment for people who live in Longridge.</p> <p>Create more of a balance between new housing and employment development.</p> <p>Prevent Longridge becoming a dormitory town.</p> <p>Identify land for local business use.</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>No real local demand/drive for employment sites from developers.</p> <p>Lack of brownfield sites, where employment sites might be more attractive to developers.</p> <p>Travel to work information (from 2005 report so probably out of date).</p> <p>Very few empty commercial premises/starter units (added JR 16/04/2014)</p> <p>Census information due to come out this year – will need to be analysed.</p> <p>Employer survey information – may be available.</p>	Not yet	<p>Survey and identify possible sites suitable for employment land.</p> <p>Contact LCC for information and economic intelligence.</p> <p>Consult Longridge Business Group to find out their issues/understand their concerns, e.g. are any of them wanting to expand locally?</p> <p>Survey local businesses.</p>
8. Development of Retail Need to consider what the land use policies are and what might be more project type activities, e.g. promotional work. (could be included in a Part 2 of the plan).	<p>Maintain the current diverse and independent nature of the retail offer that exists in the town centre.</p> <p>Promote the town centre as a retail experience: something different to offer to bring people in as well as to continue to cater for local people.</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Current retail offer: Berry Lane is the main shopping street.</p> <p>Growth of local population will have impact, which could be positive, e.g. more custom.</p> <p>Ribble Valley Local Plan retail policies need to be checked to see if they could be supplemented with a Longridge perspective.</p>	Not yet	<p>Calculate vacancy rates and map where they are. Consider the re-use prospects of these and other non-retail properties in terms of their suitability, likely conversion costs etc.</p> <p>Map the retail offer: draw a line around the “best bit” of the town centre to be retained as a high concentration of shops.</p>

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
		Anecdotal evidence from some shopkeepers about future intentions.		
<p>9. Community Facilities and Services</p> <p>Would involve work with multiple agencies, e.g. LCC, police.</p>	<p>More efficient use of existing public buildings (possible Part 2 policy to realise opportunities on resulting vacant sites).</p> <p>Protecting playing fields and other local green space assets.</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Sport England/RVBC might have evidence to support such a policy.</p> <p>Usage rates?</p>	Not yet	<p>Map and list important green assets.</p> <p>Consider opening discussions with the other agencies about their future intentions and redevelopment thoughts – could be win-win outcomes or could be a dead end.</p>
<p>10. Visitor Economy</p>	<p>Promote Longridge as a visitor destination, particularly at weekends.</p> <p>Protect publicly available car parks from inappropriate re-use.</p> <p>Encourage more visitor accommodation.</p>	<p>Community support for such a policy approach (insert survey figures when updated).</p> <p>Currently no hotels/guesthouses in Longridge.</p> <p>Publicly available car parking is well used but certain sites are vulnerable to re-use.</p>	Not yet	<p>Map and list car parking spaces/areas and survey take up.</p> <p>Consider opening discussions with car park owners about future intentions.</p> <p>Count existing tourist accommodation bed spaces and assess range of offer.</p>
<p>11. Transport/Connectivity</p> <p><u>The general aim is to improve connectivity between different areas within Longridge : a-d are not land-use policies</u></p>	<p>a Preston Bus Service must be maintained at current levels</p> <p>b Encourage regular review of Preston Service to ensure new housing development sites are incorporated in the service route</p> <p>c Encourage improved services to Clitheroe/Blackburn areas</p> <p>d Encourage improved services to adjacent local smaller communities.</p> <p>e Integrated bus terminus with taxi rank and cycle hire <u>(IBT)</u></p> <p>f Car park provision in Longridge (concerns over loss of Booths) <u>= covered in visitor economy</u></p> <p>g Cycle track linking via old railway linking to Guild Wheel: <u>protect</u></p>			<p><u>IBT: Consult with Ribble Valley and LCC to define the area of land; broad design ideas</u></p> <p><u>Need to consult more widely with walking, cycling groups, etc.</u></p> <p><u>Map current bus provision to provide evidence for IBT</u></p> <p><u>For cycle track policy: investigate routes – Town Council walk to map paths, etc; talk to neighbouring parish councils about the “Longridge Cart Wheel” idea (based on the Guild Wheel model)</u></p>

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
	<p><u>route and promote for cycling and walking</u></p> <p>gh <u>Safer routes (potential land use policy)-</u></p>			
<p>12. Education</p> <p><u>None of these issues (as currently addressed) are potential land-use policies</u></p> <p>Group decided to expand this to Public Services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health • United Utilities • Community safety • Highways 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure sufficient provision of statutory education in Longridge for those who live in Longridge and the immediate surrounding communities. • Ensure there is recognition and capacity to provide sufficient GP/health care service as the community grows and its profile changes. • Ensure the current infrastructure can absorb the planned growth of housing or sufficient timely investment to grow with the community • Ensure the community remains a safe place to live • Ensure highway's contribution to planning and development demonstrates a clear understanding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current applications already contribute to the funding of educational provision. • Letter from LCC outlining educational provision methodology for calculating statutory education places already shows this is currently supported with room for growth. It also recognises there is a possibility that primary school places may be an area for growth. • Await Clinical Commissioning Group 5 year plan outlining their proposals 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify number of High school places available according to LCC letter to determine if identified vacancies includes places currently filled by students outside local area. (Cllr Ashcoft) • Cllr Ashcroft to chase.

Key Issues	What do you want to achieve?	What evidence is there to support a policy?	Is this enough?	Actions required Who/When
	of the impact on traffic in Longridge.			
13. Neighbouring Communities Group discussion decided to scrap this item				